

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patcht and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. But 450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/928,859	08/13/2001	Andreas Sahlbach	20010013 7848			
75	90 12/02/2005	EXAMINER				
HEWLET-PACKARD COMPANY			FIELDS, CO	FIELDS, COURTNEY D		
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400	2137				
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/200	DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application I	pplication No. Applicant(s)		<u> </u>			
		09/928,859		SAHLBACH, ANDREAS				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Courtney D. F	ields _	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. aximum statutory period w d for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, I vill apply and will ex cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tin pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 200	5.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdraw d. ed to.	vn from consid						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected t	o by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		5)	☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da ☐ Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6)	Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/928,859 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-29 are pending.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, Tabbara et al. (US Patent No. 6,886,038).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tabbara et al. (US Patent No. 6,886,038).

Referring to the rejection of claims 1,13, and 25, Tabbara et al. discloses a Method for providing a switch user functionality in a server-agent environment in an IT network comprising:

generating a switch user (SU) certificate using public-key cryptography upon receiving a request to switch from a user account presently used on the node to another user account (See Column 14, lines 15-40)

sending the SU certificate to the agent (See Column 14, lines 40-49)

checking the correctness of the SU certificate (See Column 14, lines 50-60)

and performing the requested switch to the other user account provided that the

SU certificate is correct (See Column 14, lines 61-67, Column 15, lines 1-14)

Referring to the rejection of claims 2 and 14, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the server-agent environment comprises a network management server and wherein the agent is management agent running on a managed node (See Column 4, lines 15-33)

Referring to the rejection of claims 3 and 15, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the SU certificate is generated by a designated server (See Column 13, lines 51-62)

Referring to the rejection of claims 4 and 16, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the agent forwards the received SU certificate to a domain controller which checks the correctness of the SU certificate and allows the agent to perform the requested switch user (See Column 13, lines 63-67)

Referring to the rejection of claims 5 and 17, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein a private and public key pair is available before the method begins (See Column 13, lines 67- Column 14, lines 1-7)

Referring to the rejection of claims 6 and 18, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the public key is made public within the network or within a domain of the network in which the correctness of the SU certificate is checked (See Column 14, lines 21-25)

Referring to the rejection of claims 7 and 19, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the generation of the SU certificate comprises signing an SU document with the private key and wherein the checking of the SU certificate comprises verifying the signature with the public key (See Column 14, lines 50-64)

Referring to the rejection of claims 8 and 20, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the step of checking the correctness of the SU certificate comprises verifying that the SU certificate originates from a designated server and has not been modified (See Column 15, lines 4-14)

Referring to the rejection of claims 9 and 21, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the SU certificate contains no password relating to the account to which the switch is to be performed (See Column 16, lines 58-64)

Referring to the rejection of claims 10 and 22, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the SU certificate comprises the account name to which the account is to switched and an identification of the node for which the switch is to be performed (See Column 16, lines 15-32)

Referring to the rejection of claims 11 and 23, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the SU certificate comprises a time stamp or another certificate identification stamp (See Column 14, lines 33-49)

Referring to the rejection of claims 12 and 24, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the step of checking comprises verifying that the certificate is not outdate or has not been used before, by means of the time stamp or the certificate identification stamp (See Column 8, lines 54-67, Column 9, lines 1-12)

Art Unit: 2137

Referring to the rejection of claim 26, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the SU certificate generation component is a part of the management server (See Column 14, lines 61-67, Column 15, lines 1-3)

Referring to the rejection of claim 27, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the SU certificate checking component is a part of the domain controller (See Column 16, lines 47-57)

Referring to the rejection of claim 28, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein a public key publication component (See Column 14, lines 4-14)

Referring to the rejection of claim 29, Tabbara et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the SU certificate generation component comprises an digital signature component which signs an SU document with a private key corresponding to the public key and wherein the SU certificate checking component comprises a signature verification component (See Column 17, lines 14-39)

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sutton et al. (Pub No. 2003/0037177) discloses a multiple device management method and system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Courtney D. Fields whose telephone number is 571-272-3871. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs. 6:00 - 4:00 pm; off every Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,859

Art Unit: 2137

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdf

November 27, 2005

MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2137